


Journal Paper Writing Club

Mark Nixon

and any academics and all...

Audience participation is welcome!!

Edited from
V. Patel and
M. Nixon
BTAS 2018



Conferences/ Workshops/ Schools are part of a student's experience

They include:

- Presentations
- Doctoral consortia
- Contacts
- Advice

..... and a **journal paper writing club**

Different points of view?



Rama Chellappa

Professor, ECE, Affiliate Professor, CS, [UMD](#), College Park
Verified email at cfar.umd.edu - [Homepage](#)

[Computer Vision](#) [Pattern Recognition](#) [Image Processing](#) [Signal Processing](#)
[Artificial Intelligence](#)


 FOLLOW

TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Face recognition: A literature survey W Zhao, R Chellappa, PJ Phillips, A Rosenfeld ACM computing surveys (CSUR) 35 (4), 399-458	8253	2003
Human and machine recognition of faces: A survey R Chellappa, CL Wilson, S Sirohey Proceedings of the IEEE 83 (5), 705-740	3944	1995
Machine recognition of human activities: A survey P Turaga, R Chellappa, VS Subrahmanian, O Udrea IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video technology 18 (11), 1473	1519	2008
Discriminant analysis for recognition of human face images	1310	1997

Cited by

[VIEW ALL](#)

	All	Since 2015
Citations	67722	24449
h-index	121	71
i10-index	615	359




Co-authors

[VIEW ALL](#)

There are many points of view to this material 1

Mark's association with journals

- Reviewer
 - Editorial Board
 - Editor
 - Advisory Editor
 - Vice Chair IEEE Publications (PSPB)
- 
- Young Nixon
- Old Nixon

There are many points of view to this material 2

Mark's publication targets

1983 Getting published anywhere

1987 Avoiding abstract only conferences

1988 Aiming for top journals

1995 Focussing only on IEEE/ Springer conferences

2000 Focussing mainly on IEEE Transactions



Caveat, on the following advice

Quod ali cibus est aliis fuat acre venenum

Titus Lucretius Carus

c. 70 BC

What is food for **one man** may be bitter **poison** to others



Why do we write journal papers? 1

Alternative forums exist

- Some conferences are very top, e.g. CVPR, NIPS and SIGGRAPH
- Arxiv and citations clearly matter
- Other venues are perceived to be faster

BUT

- Some fields don't recognise conference papers
- “ “ “ “ non-refereed papers
- Need diversity in experience and output
- Journals can be very fast

Why do we write journal papers? 2



Why do we write journal papers? 3

Many reasons

- Official version
- Prestigious achievement
- Recognition of work
- Needed for the job (e.g. UK REF 2020) or PhD
- Because we like competition
- Intellectual trademark
- Something to give Mum and Dad

At what **level** should we aim to publish?

- Very **difficult** and **important** choice
- Aim for the **top** (that has the best **chance**)
 - Likely to lead to better exposure
 - More **citations**
 - Work better **recognised**
- Is the **idea** any good?
- Was there rapturous welcome to any **conference papers**?
- **Never** go for the **easy** choice
 - Comments about Hi.. are never too be repeated

The people told to publish in Hi..



How to choose the journal?

- The **top** (that has the best chance) – reprise
- Journal affiliated to **conference**
- **Special Editions**
 - You want to get a PhD!
 - Flattered by faint praise?
- Take **median journal** of all citations made
 - Reviewers often chosen from citations
 - Gives approximate format for research presentation
- Remember that you often only get **two shots**
(3 shots with 'resubmission as new paper')

Salami slicing?



- Can we **recycle conference papers**?
 - **Yes** in special editions and regular journals
 - Some journals state **extra amount** required, e.g. 30%
 - Does one recycle NIPS, CVPR? (No!)
- Some journals **say no to extended conference papers** (or have that reputation)
 - E.g. IEEE TPAMI, IEEE TIFS
- Some (top) journals dislike **previously rejected** papers
 - Need **completely new version**
 - Also submit **previously rejected version** at same time

Who do you write papers with?

- **Only** with people who **contribute**
 - Admittedly someone might have arranged your funding
 - Can use **acknowledgements**
- It takes **time** and **commitment**
- How do you order the **author list**?
 - In engineering, generally by **contribution**
 - Some areas order authors **alphabetically**
 - Some areas have **everyone** in the lab
 - When chairing conferences, **more** authors on papers following acceptance than at submission!

Bare Essentials

- **Balance** between conferences and journals
- **Structure** presentation within available space
- Formulate **claims** and rational presentation thereof
- Avoid **low level** material
- Avoid **plagiarism** (and self plagiarism!)



What do you write papers using?

- Academics have a longstanding love of **Latex**
- **Word** has a grammar and spell checker
- Use a **copy editor** if you need to



Who makes the decisions?

Ranking scheme

Advisory Editor

Editor

(Area Editor)

Editorial Board

Reviewer

Increasing rank



Reviewing system

- It's not the best
- But think of a different one



Be careful writing a rebuttal

- Don't go nuts or lose your rag
- Answer all the reviewers' (in some way) and associate editor's comments
- A rebuttal is evidence: write a reasoned analysis
- It's like another paper....

Do you reply to all reviewer comments?

- Well, yes and no
- Reviewers have much influence on the final decision
- You don't work in a vacuum
- Everyone is entitled to their own opinion

Do you reply to **associate editor** comments?

- Too bloody right
- Yes, absolutely
- Of course
- You'd be whacko not to

Note that AE comments can:

- **prioritise** reviewer comments
- **reconcile** apparently conflicting review comments
- send an **indirect message** to reviewers

What **mistakes** do people make?

Audience suggestions most welcome!!

Some reasons for rejection include:

- **Contributions** insufficiently clear
- **Disparity** between claims and evidence
- **Writing** too poor
- **Figures** too small
- **Structure** badly thought out
 - Too much detail on tiny points
 - Order incorrect
- **References** incomplete or omitted



And what about page charges

- **Avoid** vanity press
- Some journals only make a surplus on page charges
- In some areas it's **de rigeur to pay** page charges
- Page charges can be **substantial**
- **Why** do we have overlength page charges in an **online** world?


Reproducible research

- Something **definitely** to watch (and **do**)
- Store **data**
- Store **technique**
- Github etc

Open access

- Go for **green**? free
- Go for **gold**? Costs
- Note that an EU suggestion for 2020 is for open access gold or open access journals for all papers

Don't forget ...



Editor-in-Chief:

Kevin Bowyer, University of Notre Dame, USA

Associate Editors:

Terrance E. Boult, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs

Nicholas Evans, Eurecom

Tal Hassner, Amazon AWS / Open University of Israel

Ioannis A. Kakadiaris, University of Houston

Josef Kittler, University of Surrey

Ajay Kumar, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Jiwen Lu, Tsinghua University

Dario Maio, University of Bologna

Alice O'Toole, University of Texas at Dallas

Javier Ortega-Garcia, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid

Jonathon Phillips, National Institute of Standards and Technology's Information Technology Laboratory

Matti Pietikäinen, University of Oulu

Arun Ross, Michigan State University

Stephanie Schuckers, Clarkson University

Richa Singh, IIIT-Delhi

Zhenan Sun, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Other information

How to write a good CVPR submission

<https://billf.mit.edu/sites/default/files/documents/cvprPapers.pdf>

How to Get Your SIGGRAPH Paper Rejected, Jim Kajiya, SIGGRAPH 1993 Papers Chair, <https://www.siggraph.org/sites/default/files/kajiya.pdf>

Notes on technical writing, Don Knuth, 1989.

Hope this helps...

....and Good Luck!!

Journal Paper Writing Club

Vishal Patel and Mark Nixon, IEEE Biometrics Council Younger Members
and any academics and all...

Audience participation is welcome!!

BTAS 2018

